

EXHIBIT 8

EXHIBIT 8



Las Vegas

Reno

Carson City

**CERTIFIED
COPY**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA - RENO DIVISION

-o0o-

PAMELA D. LONGONI,
individually and as Guardian
Ad Litem for LACEY LONGONI,
and JEAN M. GAGNON,

Case No. 3:10-CV-00297-LRH-(VPC)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware
Limited Liability Company, et
al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

MYRON RAVELO

SEPTEMBER 8, 2011

RENO, NEVADA

REPORTED BY: CORRIE L. WOLDEN, NV CSR #194, RPR, CP

JOB NO. 144002



MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 21

1 Products, Inc.?

2 A Not that I'm aware of.

3 Q Do you know whether or not ETS has any contractual
4 relationship with Residential Funding Corporation?

5 A No.

6 Q Do you know whether ETS has any contractual
7 relationship with Residential Funding Company, LLC?

8 A No.

9 Q Do you know whether Executive Trustee Services has
10 any contractual relationship with a company known as MERS?

11 A No.

12 Q Do you know whether or not Executive Trustee
13 Services has any contractual relationship with a trust known
14 as RAMP 205EFC?

15 A No.

16 Q And, I'm sorry, that was RAMP 2005, like the year
17 2005.

18 A No.

19 Q Okay. Are you aware of any assignment of rights
20 that Executive Trustee Services has received from this trust
21 RAMP 2005EFC?

22 A No.

23 Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights from
24 Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc. to Executive
25 Trustee Services?

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 22

1 A No.

2 Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights between
3 Residential Funding Company, LLC and Executive Trustee
4 Services?

5 A No.

6 Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights from
7 Residential Funding Corporation to Executive Trustee
8 Services?

9 A No.

10 Q And are you aware of any assignment of rights from
11 GMAC Mortgage, LLC to Executive Trustee Services?

12 A No.

1 Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights between
14 Homecomings Financial, LLC and ETS?

15 A No.

16 Q You had indicated before that there were other
17 vendors that ETS uses, I guess, to perform its services.
18 Before we go there, I want to just have you describe for me
19 what you believe ETS does as a business.

20 A ETS prepares and processes the foreclosure file
21 for our clients.

22 Q All right. And so preparing and processing the
23 foreclosure file, does that include actually engaging in the
24 process of foreclosing upon a piece of property?

25 A Physically, no, but the documentation, yes.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 62

1 the right to get the collateral back if the loan is not
2 paid, correct?

3 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 BY MR. BEKO:

6 Q Do you know who owned the Promissory Note when
7 this assignment came to ETS?

8 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 BY MR. BEKO:

11 Q Do you have any idea who held -- Do you know what
12 a holder is of a Promissory Note?

13 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: Just from what I understand, it is
15 who has the actual physical note, original.

16 BY MR. BEKO:

17 Q Okay. Good. Do you know who held the Promissory
18 Note when this assignment came to GMAC, or to ETS?

19 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: No.

21 BY MR. BEKO:

22 Q To your knowledge did anyone ever attempt to make
23 any inquiry as to who actually held the Promissory Note at
24 the time that the foreclosure was started?

25 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 63.

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 MR. BEKO: Well, can you be more specific,
3 Counsel?

4 MR. BASHFORD: It is a legal question about the
5 definition of what the holder of the note is.

6 MR. BEKO: Okay.

7 MR. BASHFORD: He is not a legal expert.

8 BY MR. BEKO:

9 Q Do you know, sir, at the time -- This foreclosure
10 was started on or about the 20th of February of 2009,
11 correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q Does ETS have any idea who was in possession of
14 the Promissory Note?

15 A No.

16 Q Does ETS have any idea who was in possession of
17 the Deed of Trust?

18 A Not physical, but no.

19 Q How about anything other than physical? Who was
20 in possession of it, if it is not physical?

21 A ETS would assume GMAC would have it.

22 Q Okay. Do you know who MERS is?

23 A I know, yes.

24 Q What is MERS?

25 A From what my understanding is, it is a company

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 84

1 Deed of Trust is to whoever GMAC is notifying us to
2 foreclose under.

3 Q I see. So because they are saying to you do it in
4 MERS' name, you don't have to go get any assignment of the
5 Deed of Trust?

6 A From what we know now.

7 Q From what you know now?

8 A No, from what we know, we don't have to. We did
9 not have to at that time.

10 Q Has that changed now?

11 A Yes.

12 Q What is different about that now?

13 A Everything is assigned out.

14 Q Right. Meaning when you now do foreclosures, you
15 get an assignment from the original lender of the Deed of
16 Trust, correct?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q When did that change?

19 A I believe the exact date was October 19, 2009.
20 I'm sorry, 2010.

21 Q October 19th, 2010?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And what happened to prompt that change?

24 A I'm not exactly 100 percent sure what exactly
25 happened.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 85.

1 Q So after 2010, whoever is listed as the lender on
2 the Deed of Trust then has to give an assignment to ETS
3 before you begin the foreclosure process?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q Okay. And how do you know this date of October
6 19th?

7 A There was a communiqué, a memo, a company memo.
8 It is either October 19th or 18th.

9 Q Okay.

10 A It is around that time frame.

11 Q All right. So now when ETS does a foreclosure,
12 they will get an assignment of the Deed of Trust, and will
13 they still do the Substitution of Trustee?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And then they will do the Notice of Default?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Okay. All right. And you don't remember anybody
18 telling you why it was that they were changing this
19 procedure by which to complete foreclosures?

20 A No, not -- no.

21 Q You don't have any idea?

22 A I personally do, just from what I read and
23 reviewed, but not --

24 Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding is as to
25 why this change was made.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 122

1 A I don't think it is a fair statement to say we
2 never have to, but we are obtaining, we would obtain that
3 information from the Revised Statutes of Nevada.

4 Q Okay. So you think that there is something in the
5 Revised Statutes of Nevada that says that ETS did not have
6 to go back and do another Notice of Default after additional
7 monies were paid by the borrower, loss mitigation had been
8 gone through, your understanding is that the statutes of
9 Nevada say that you don't have to issue another Notice of
10 Default?

11 MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: My understanding of that statute is
1 that if we file our Notice of Default and our statutory
14 mailings are completed at that portion of the foreclosure,
15 and as long as the default amounts do not change, we do not
16 have to send or record a new Notice of Default.

17 BY MR. BEKO:

18 Q Okay. And when you say as long as the default
19 amounts don't change, if GMAC on behalf of the lender
20 receives additional funds, would the default amount change?

21 A If the payment, if the monies were applied, yes,
22 it would change.

23 Q Well, if they received them, whether they apply
24 them or not, the amount in default would change, correct?

25 A I can't make that statement.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 123.

1 Q Why not?

2 A If they don't apply to the loan and return it to
3 the borrower the next day, then it doesn't --

4 Q Oh, sure, I totally understand that, sure. If
5 GMAC receives money from the borrower and keeps the money,
6 doesn't give it back to the borrower, then the default
7 amount would change, correct?

8 A That would be a fair assumption, yes.

9 Q All right. And in that situation, you believe
10 that ETS would need to go back and issue a new Notice of
11 Default, is that correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And was that ever done in this case?

14 A Which portion, I'm sorry?

15 Q Was there ever a new Notice of Default issued in
16 this case?

17 A Not that I can recall, no.

18 Q And do you know why not?

19 A From my understanding, the amounts, the defaulted
20 amounts did not change.

21 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not GMAC actually
22 received additional funds from the borrowers, Ms. Longoni
23 and Mr. Gagnon?

24 A No, we were not aware of that.

25 Q If that happens, if after a Notice of Default is

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 127.

1 Okay. If, in fact, GMAC has received funds, but
2 not enough to cure the default, then under that situation
3 you then start the process over with a new Notice of Default
4 providing those new numbers and continuing forward from
5 there, is that right?

6 A We would have to get, we would have to get
7 approval from GMAC, because if it changes the payment
8 amounts, it technically isn't a valid foreclosure, period,
9 regardless of what it is, so we would then have to refer it
10 back to GMAC and they would have to refer it back to us.
11 That is what I'm trying to --

12 Q Right. That makes sense to me. If you started
13 the process and there was a certain amount owed and the
14 lender gets some money back from the borrower, then you have
15 got to start anew, right?

16 A From my understanding, yes.

17 Q Okay. Do you have any explanation, I will submit
18 to you that there were payments that were received by GMAC
19 in this case from Ms. Longoni and Mr. Gagnon and the money
20 was kept. It was never returned to them. Do you know why
21 the foreclosure process wasn't started anew?

22 A No.

23 Q Do you know, sir, that after this foreclosure sale
24 went through that GMAC attempted to get the property back
25 from Ms. Gagnon, Mr. Gagnon and Ms. Longoni?

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 128

1 A I'm sorry, could you explain?

2 Q Sure. Did you know after the sale, the
3 foreclosure sale went through in this case, that GMAC
4 attempted to purchase back the property from the new buyer?

5 A I'm not sure if it is a purchase, but, yeah, I
6 believe there was some sort of occurrence like that, yes.

7 Q Why did that happen, do you know?

8 A I could only assume.

9 Q Was it because they had received those funds and
10 they didn't restart the foreclosure process over again?

11 MR. BASHFORD: Objection to the extent it calls
12 for any privileged information.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know if that is the truth, I
14 mean, if that is the case or not, I'm sorry.

15 BY MR. BEKO:

16 Q What is your understanding, what is your belief as
17 to why they went back and did that?

18 MR. BASHFORD: Objection; don't answer that to the
19 extent you believe it is based on what you were told by
20 Counsel or any legal representation for GMAC or ETS.

21 BY MR. BEKO:

22 Q Can you answer that question?

23 A Based on what he said, I can't.

24 Q So you don't have any information as to why they
25 tried to get the property back except for what some attorney

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 129

1 told you, is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Okay. Mr. Ravelo --

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q I don't know why I have a hard time remembering
6 your name, I'm sorry. If, in fact, GMAC had received monies
7 and applied them from the borrowers, would there be some
8 kind of notation either in Exhibit 38 or Exhibit 4 where
9 they would be telling you that information?

10 A Possibly. It is possible.

11 Q How else would ETS get that information if it
12 didn't come through either of these two electronic systems?

13 A We would have to review GMAC's MortgageServ
14 system.

15 Q Okay. Let's talk about that for a second. Would
16 you look, sir, at Exhibit 5 for identification?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q This is the system that you are talking about if,
19 in fact, GMAC had received funds that they would notify ETS
20 through this system?

21 A No. My explanation was if we don't get it on
22 notification through our system --

23 Q Which is Exhibit 38?

24 A Exhibit 38, I'm sorry, and Exhibit 4.

25 Q Okay.

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 137.

1 Default part.

2 Q What has changed since the Longoni matter?

3 A The MERS assignments.

4 Q Okay.

5 A For Nevada, the mediation requirements.

6 Q There was no attempt to mediate this case in
7 Nevada at all, correct?

8 A No.

9 Q None of the notices that were required -- Are you
10 familiar with the notices that now have to go out in Nevada
11 as far as things that have to be done?

12 A I know the general definitions of them. I don't
13 know the exact verbiage and the exact pages of them, but I
14 do know the general definitions of them, yes.

15 Q And none of that was complied with in this case,
16 correct?

17 A Not at that time, no.

18 Q Do you know why not?

19 A I believe it was date of effect.

20 Q Date of effect of what?

21 A Of that mediation law, statute.

22 Q Based upon the records that you have seen here to
23 date and the records that you think that you have seen that
24 are not here to date, it appears that all of the notices of
25 default that were sent to Longoni-Gagnon came back

MYRON RAVELO - 9/8/2011

Page 166

1 STATE OF NEVADA)
2) Ss.
3 WASHOE COUNTY)

4 I, CORRIE L. WOLDEN, a Certified Shorthand
5 Reporter in and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada,
6 do hereby certify; That on THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2011, at
7 the hour of 9:02 a.m. of said day, at 99 W. Arroyo Street,
8 Reno, Nevada, personally appeared MYRON RAVELO, who was duly
9 sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and
10 nothing but the truth, and thereupon was deposed in the
11 matter entitled herein;

12 That I am not a relative, employee or independent
13 contractor of counsel to any of the parties; or a relative,
14 employee or independent contractor of the parties involved
15 in the proceeding, or a person financially interested in the
16 proceeding;

17 That said deposition was taken in verbatim
18 stenotype notes by me, and thereafter transcribed into
19 typewriting as herein appears; That the foregoing
20 transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 166, is a full,
21 true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said
22 deposition.

23 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 16th day of
24 September, 2011.

25 *Corrie L. Wolden*
CORRIE L. WOLDEN, CSR #194, RPR, CP